AvalonK2

Avalon incorporates Blatchford's hydraulic ankle technology with a keel designed specifically for the biomechanics of K2 users. The combination of the keel shape (similar to that of Navigator) and the hydraulic damping of the ankle unit allows shock absorption at initial contact and a smooth rollover. The keel length is designed for those with a shorter step length, to allow the progression of the body centre-of-mass over the end of the toe.

Improvements in Clinical Outcomes using Avalon compared to non-hydraulic feet

Improvement in **MOBILITY**

- Improved gait performance
 - Faster self-selected walking speed¹
 - Smoother centre-of-pressure progression¹
- Keel and ankle designed for Activities of Daily Living
 - Easier sit-to-stand²

Improvement in LOADING SYMMETRY

- Mean 34% reduction in stance phase timing asymmetry³
- Maximum 86% reduction in stance phase timing asymmetry³
- More symmetrical inter-limb loading¹

Improvement in USER SATISFACTION

- Patient reported outcome measures indicate improvements
 - Mean improvement across all Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire domains⁴

Clinical Outcomes using the Avalon/Navigator keel design

With respect to **MOBILITY**

- Shorter keel allows for more consistent rollover radius of curvature, regardless of changing footwear⁵
- The most energy efficient radius of curvature for a rollover shape has been identified as 30% of the walker's leg length. For a person of a typical adult height between 1.5m and 1.8m, this equates to approximately 245-290mm. The Avalon keel design has a rollover shape of ~250mm⁵.

References

1. Barnett CT, Brown OH, Bisele M, et al. Individuals with Unilateral Transtibial Amputation and Lower Activity Levels Walk More Quickly when Using a Hydraulically Articulating Versus Rigidly Attached Prosthetic Ankle-Foot Device. JPO J Prosthet Orthot 2018; 30: 158–64.

2. McGrath M, Moser D, Baier A. Anforderungen an eine geeignete Prosthesentechnologie für ältere, dysvaskuläre Amputierte - Requirements of a suitable prosthesis technology for elderly, dysvascular amputees. Orthop-Tech; 11.

3. Moore R. Effect on Stance Phase Timing Asymmetry in Individuals with Amputation Using

Hydraulic Ankle Units. JPO J Prosthet Orthot 2016; 28: 44-48.

4. Moore R. Patient Evaluation of a Novel Prosthetic Foot with Hydraulic Ankle Aimed at Persons with Amputation with Lower Activity Levels. JPO J Prosthet Orthot 2017; 29: 44–47.

5. Curtze C, Hof AL, van Keeken HG, et al. Comparative roll-over analysis of prosthetic feet. J Biomech 2009; 42: 1746–1753.